

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSICRES & PATENTIS AND TRADEMARKS washington DOT 2 0221 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10 050,689	01 16 2002	Wayne E. Pleasant	2695,2020-001	6595
	590 03 20 2003			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.			FNAMINI R	
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133			MIS, DAVID C	
CONCORD, M	IA 01742-9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- —·-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <i>†</i>			
Office Action Summary		10/050,689	9 PLEASANT ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		David Mis	2817				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	January 2002					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is			
-	ion of Claims						
, —	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
·							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	,					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	Application No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).)			
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication).			
	i) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest						
Attachmen		•					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5</u>	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
C. Datent and T	radamati Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/050,689

Art Unit: 2817

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-10 of U. S. Patent No. 6,496,079 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: That which was construed to cover the claims of the parent application and patent is construed to cover said present claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application

Application/Control Number: 10/050,689

Art Unit: 2817

Page 3

during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Mis whose telephone number is 7033084907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on 7033084909. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 7038729318 for regular communications and 7038729319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 7033080956.

David Mis

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817